## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KERRY ZETTLEMOYER, et al. : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:05-CV-0092

Plaintiffs : (Judge Conner)

:

**v.** 

:

PITTSBURGH GLAZING SYSTEMS,

INC., et al.,

**Defendants** :

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 26th day of October, 2005, upon consideration of the order of court (Doc. 31) dated September 12, 2005, directing defendants to file a brief in opposition to plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 26) and a corresponding statement of facts on or before September 19, 2005, and it appearing that defendants have not filed a brief in opposition or corresponding statement of facts as of the date of this order, it is hereby ORDERED that defendants shall file a response, on or before November 2, 2005, showing cause why plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 26) should not be granted. See L.R. 7.6 (providing that a party who fails to file a brief in opposition to a motion "shall be deemed not to oppose such motion"); see also L.R. 56.1 (stating that the moving party's statement of facts pursuant to a motion for summary judgment will be deemed "admitted unless controverted" by the opposing party).

S/ Christopher C. Conner CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER United States District Judge